

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

İ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	: FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/578,493	05/05/2006	Laurent Desire	67987.000002	7885
	21967 HUNTON & W	05/05/2006 7590 10/10/2007 ILLIAMS LLP AL PROPERTY DEPAI Γ, N.W.		EXAMINER	
			ARTMENT	CHERNYSHI	EV, OLGA N
	1900 K STREE SUITE 1200	21, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006-1109		1649	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				10/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/578,493	DESIRE, LAURENT			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Olga N. Chernyshev	1649			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1 7	the correspondence address			
Period fo		•				
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Day consions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: , cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims	•	•			
4) 又	Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-15,17 and 18</u> is/are pending in	the application.				
٠,ڪ	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-15,17 and 18</u> are subject to res	triction and/or election requir	rement.			
Applicat	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
· —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		the Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
• —	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	process and a cross of a				
,	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		olication No			
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re-	ceived.			
•						
Attachmer	nt(s)	_				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Info	rmal Patent Application			
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) [] Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/578,493

Art Unit: 1649

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-3, drawn to BACE455 polypeptides and their fragments.

Group II, claim(s) 4-6 and 9-11, drawn to polynucleotides encoding BACE455 polypeptide, host cells and vectors.

Group III, claim(s) 12-14, drawn to a ligand able to bind a BACE455 polypeptide.

Group IV, claim(s) 15, drawn to a BACE455 inhibitor.

Group V, claim(s) 17-18, drawn to methods of treating clinical conditions by administration of BACE455 inhibitor.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I to V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.475 (a), Unity of invention before the International Searching Authority, an international and a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those

Application/Control Number: 10/578,493 Page 3

Art Unit: 1649

inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. In the instant case, the first recited product of the claims was known in prior art, see Search report submitted on May 05, 2006, and therefore it cannot form the basis for unity of invention. Accordingly and pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.475 (d), each of products and methods recited in claims 4-6, 9-15 and 17-18 define a separate invention, which is independent and distinct from the invention of Group I.

- Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 4. The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.
- 5. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,493

Art Unit: 1649

6. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 4

7. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double

Art Unit: 1649

patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga N. Chernyshev whose telephone number is (571) 272-0870.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Y. Chan can be reached on (571) 272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Olga N. Chernyshev, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1649

October 5, 2007